Explanation and correction of an AI decision

Fictional case data and worked reasoning

KI21 · Three out of four evidences are available. The documented human contribution must fit into the concrete rejection.

Test point	Purpose or comparison	Observation	Decision
Information	Note on the operating channel	General notice	Use visible
Explanation	Four verifiable documents	Human contribution missing	Open
Negative test	Substantial technical examination	Technical confirmation	DSGVO check

Expected result

A clear explanation with actual reasons for decision, release and a verifiable correction.

Evidence of the system and decision

Understanding the underlying reason and actual examination

Determine the correct way to achieve this and the data protection issue

Counter-test and next decision

Re-create the four documents in full and place the examination on "technical confirmation only". The case file continues to show a need for clarification regarding Art. 22 and the intended correction.

Explanation and correction of an AI decision

For your observation, specify a findable document.

Secure system information, concrete reasons for decision and the comprehensible human contribution. Perform the data protection review independently.

Verification identification, legal entity and date of processing and responsible body

Case identification, decision and AI system status

Information to the person concerned on the channel used

Concrete AI contribution to the decision

The underlying reason and intervention of the professional role

Proof of technical review

Explanation and correction of an AI decision

Refer remedial and post-test to the original test order.

Findings and supporting evidence

Correction, explanation and findings for automation

Tested follow-up test with date, observation and version documentation

Released answer and jurisdiction

Release by and on the open order and date