GPAI model roll and fine tuning

Fictional case data and worked reasoning

KI17 · A claims 30 percent of the initial training calculation effort, B 40 percent. The share changes the test requirement according to the Commission guidelines.

Test point	Purpose or comparison	Observation	Decision
A · Cost Origin	**6.0 × 10²³**	**1.8 × 10²³ · 30% classification**	
B · Effort	**Same calculation method**	**2.4 × 10²³ · 40% Check Roll**	
Negative test	**More than one third**	**2.0 × 10²³ · exactly one third**	Limit

Expected result

A documented role note for the model version with its own system decision.

Identifying model, system and delivery

Confirming the cost of calculation and comparability

Evidence of the role and decisions taken at the actual market step

Counter-test and next decision

Set the compute used for the modification to 2.0 × 10²³ FLOPs. This is exactly one third. Mark the original figure as unsupported. The worksheet then shows that the inputs still need evidence, even though the ratio can be calculated.

GPAI model roll and fine tuning

For your observation, specify a findable document.

Calculate the calculation portion of the change. Evaluate data base, provision, brand and system role separately.

Verification identification, legal entity and date of processing and responsible body

Model identification and original version

Calculation value and method of basic training

Calculation value and method of change

Model purpose, brand and actual provision

The system concerned and its role

GPAI model roll and fine tuning

Refer remedial and post-test to the original test order.

Findings and supporting evidence

Clearing of missing data or reassessment

Tested follow-up test with date, observation and version documentation

Reasoned role note and location

Release by and on the open order and date