Protocol on human testing of AI recommendation

Document how a responsible person checks a specific AI recommendation and proves his own decision.

Own product or system identifier

Enter the version, date of reference, use or variant concerned and responsible body.

Decision and purpose

Determine the concrete process, system version and test criterion.

Checking abnormality

Match results and admissible documents at the process.

Evidence of Human Decision

the assessment, the authorised body, the correction initiated and the date.

Understanding the Example Decision

An application is given a low classification because of an incompletely recorded information. The person who checks the data compares with the available documents and justifies the correction.

Test position	Observation and impact
Observation	A notice from the system and a released document contradict each other. The professionally authorized person checks both.
Decision	The release documents the own evaluation, affected input, changes and the status used afterwards.
Negative test	In the exercise, remove the permission for release. Determine which escalation route ensures the professional decision.

Comparison with the situation at its own pace

What deviation does the result change? Which proof makes the difference visible?

Determine the examination, decision and follow-up date

Test track for human assessment of a recommendation.

Agreed scale observation and evidence findings and test assignment

Sampling for follow-up

Document a corresponding control case and determine the responsible team.

Responsibility, decision and release

Enter the text, date, responsible body, result and, where appropriate, follow-up or retest.