fundamental rights impact assessment and sample

Fictional case data and worked reasoning

KI04 · 6 of 20 unnecessary provisions online correspond to 30 percent, 1 of 20 in the branch 5 percent. The scenario uses as internal target a maximum of 10 percent per channel.

Test point	Purpose or comparison	Observation	Decision
Online, first run	Not more than 10 percent in a fictitious job	Six out of 20 is 30 percent.	Resolve with responsibility.
Branch, first run	The same criterion 1 out of 20 is 5 percent. Measured value for tested channel.		
Online, negative test	Confirm test area and reference size	2 out of 8 instead of 2 out of 20 are 25 percent.	Quota target missed, audit order open.

Expected result

A documented impact assessment with group quotas, protective measures, jurisdiction, complaint procedure and post-test.

Determine the use and persons concerned

Document risk, case group and observed effect

Complete action, complaint procedure and communication

fundamental rights impact assessment and sample

For your observation, specify a findable document.

Determine the fundamental rights per use. Evaluate risks based on comprehensible case groups and track remedy and residual risk.

Verification identification, legal entity and date of processing and responsible body

Role, purpose and group concerned

Basic rights risk and observed consequence

Cases, findings and limit values tested

Existing data protection records

Responsible protection measure

fundamental rights impact assessment and sample

Refer remedial and post-test to the original test order.

Findings and supporting evidence

Next test and complaint

Tested follow-up test with date, observation and version documentation

Notification and confirmed residual decision

Release by and on the open order and date