Detection coverage and evidence gaps

the framework, agreed benchmark and the effect to be tested before the first run.

Check for each service and obligation whether the proof is available, fits the status and is currently released. Track the reliable denominator.

Legal entity, service and audit period

Specify the identifier, status, period and responsible body.

Determine target size

Provide unique identifiers for the verified obligations and demonstrate their scope.

Matching Evidence

Confirm receipt date, validity, coverage and release separately.

Solve gaps

Arrange responsibility, appointment and professional standard for any open document.

Detection coverage and evidence gaps

The completed case shows how the target, observation and follow-up are related.

N22 · Six positions are expected. Four documents are current and relevant, one has expired, one is missing.

Test question Should or Compare Observation Decision		
Scope Six expected positions	Six unique lines denominator occupied	
Currentity Usable evidence for the 24.11.	Four valid, one expired, one missing	Four out of six occupied
Closure of actual evidence to the service concerned	New export for another performance	The gap in evidence remains

Which result is passed

The following table shows the results of the assessment carried out by each test group:

Comparison with your own process

What is the acceptance or result of your actual data?

Detection coverage and evidence gaps

Confirm the observations and place a specific order for open points.

1 Scope

Criteria and agreed target value observation and clear evidence

2 Timeliness

Criteria and agreed target value observation and clear evidence

3 Closure

Criteria and agreed target value observation and clear evidence

Evaluation and open findings

Decision, deviation from the target and the performance concerned.

Follow-up and release

Enter the responsible body, due date, re-certificate and release if necessary.